UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/777,731	02/12/2004	Shaibal Roy	ID-495 (80227)	5602	
	7590 04/09/200 R F. REGAN, ESQUII	EXAMINER			
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST, P.A. P.O. Box 3791			KEEFER, MICHAEL E		
Orlando, FL 32	802-3791		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/777,731	ROY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL E. KEEFER	2154	

	MICHAEL E. KEEFER	2154	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property</li></ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	isideration and/or search (see NOT »);	E below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1°		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented.  Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>3/6/2008</u>	3	
	/Joseph E. Avellino/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2146	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments present new issues not previously considered by the examiner.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although the amendments do present new issues, the Examiner notes that these amendments do not appear to overcome the art of record. The servers in Poor et al. still communicate using multiple "operating" protocols. For instance, to even make transactions using IMAP or POP, the server must communicate using protocols on the lower layers of the network stack, therefore, the servers are communicating using different protocols. Regarding Applicant's argument about Poor et al. failing to 'select a desired operating protocol', the Examiner notes that the intermediate server does choose a specific protocol to use with each server, from the total list of protocols the intermediate server has to choose from. The fact that applicant asserts this choice is made based off of the identity of the server does not change the fact that Poor's server is choosing a protocol to use from a set of protocols. After further review of Applicant's specification and drawings, the Examiner suggests that an amendment inserting the functionality shown in Fig. 16 may overcome the art of record (but will require further search and consideration). Specifically, steps 161-162 of fig. 16, described in [0117] of Applicant's specification. The Examiner invites the Applicant to contact him for an interview if the Applicant wants to propose further claim amendments to ensure that they will overcome the art of record.